

Rethinking Diffusion for Text-Driven Human Motion Generation: Redundant Representations, Evaluation, and Masked Autoregression

Zichong Meng, Yiming Xie, Xiaogang Peng, Zeyu Han, Huaizu Jiang
Northeastern University

{meng.zic, xie.yim, peng.xiaog, han.zeyu, h.jiang}@northeastern.edu

<https://neu-vi.github.io/MARDM/>

Abstract

Since 2023, Vector Quantization (VQ)-based discrete generation methods have rapidly dominated human motion generation, primarily surpassing diffusion-based continuous generation methods in standard performance metrics. However, VQ-based methods have inherent limitations. Representing continuous motion data as limited discrete tokens leads to inevitable information loss, reduces the diversity of generated motions, and restricts their ability to function effectively as motion priors or generation guidance. In contrast, the continuous space generation nature of diffusion-based methods makes them well-suited to address these limitations and with even potential for model scalability. In this work, we systematically investigate why current VQ-based methods perform well and explore the limitations of existing diffusion-based methods from the perspective of motion data representation and distribution. Drawing on these insights, we preserve the inherent strengths of a diffusion-based human motion generation model and gradually optimize it with inspiration from VQ-based approaches. Our approach introduces a human motion diffusion model enabled to perform masked autoregression, optimized with a reformed data representation and distribution. Additionally, we propose a more robust evaluation method to assess different approaches. Extensive experiments on various datasets demonstrate our method outperforms previous methods and achieves state-of-the-art performances.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the problem of human motion generation from the textual prompt (e.g., a person walks). Due to the remarkable performance in the image generation domain [32, 80, 82], diffusion models are largely adopted for human motion generation starting with the pioneer methods [44, 88, 113]. Compared with RNN [81]-based generation methods [1, 3, 24, 57], diffusion-based models offer a simpler training objective and improved stability.




Figure 1. **The FID results on HumanML3D dataset.** The bubble size is proportional to the model size. We achieve superior performance and demonstrate model scalability.

In 2023, the exploration of Vector Quantization (VQ) techniques for human motion representation becomes increasingly dominant, marked a noticeable shift in attention away from diffusion models for the human motion generation task [25, 26, 111]. These methods transform continuous motion representations (e.g. processed joint positions) into discrete tokens, which enables the use of already proven generative architectures [6, 16, 93] and their training and sampling techniques from the field of natural language processing with minimal modifications.

Despite the performance improvement, VQ-based methods still exhibit notable limitations. Representing continuous motion data as limited groups of discrete tokens inherently causes a loss of motion information, reduces generation diversity, and limits their ability to serve as motion priors or generation guidance (e.g., in the generation of dual-human motion and human-object interactions). Moreover, unlike language models, these discrete tokens often lack contextual richness, which can hinder model scalability.

In contrast, the continuous space nature of diffusion-based generation methods effectively overcomes these limitations and offers potential for model scalability, as evidenced by numerous image diffusion models [51, 66]. In light of these, researchers have started to revisit diffusion-based approaches [114, 117]. However, these attempts struggle to achieve performance comparable to that of VQ-

based methods. More importantly, the reasons for the performance gap between VQ and diffusion-based human motion generation methods remain unclear.

In this work, we first systematically investigate why VQ-based motion generation approaches perform well and explore the limitations of diffusion-based methods from the perspective of motion data representation and distribution. Specifically, (1) We examine how VQ-based discrete formulations benefit from training with current motion data representation, which consists of redundant dimensions, while this data composition and its distribution hinder existing diffusion models. (2) We explore how VQ-based methods inherently align with current evaluation metrics, which incorporate the entire data representation including redundant dimensions, whereas diffusion-based methods are often penalized under these evaluation criteria.

Based on our diagnostic findings and inspirations from current VQ-based approaches, we aim to close the performance gap by gradually enhancing a diffusion-based model tailored for human motion generation. We first restructure the motion data representation by removing redundant information, resulting in a distribution better suited for diffusion models. We then process them with a 1D ResNet [29]-based AutoEncoder for better per-frame smoothness. Additionally, we build a diffusion-based motion generation model with masked autoregressive strategies to simplify training objective. Finally, we propose more robust evaluators for unbiased assessments of different approaches.

We summarize our contributions as follows:

- We systematically investigate the reasons why VQ-based methods outperform diffusion-based methods from motion data representation and distribution perspective, providing analysis with theoretical and experimental support.
- Inspired by our diagnostic findings, we propose a scalable masked autoregressive diffusion-based generation framework and a more robust evaluation method.
- Our method achieves state-of-the-art performance on text-to-motion generation task with significant improvements on KIT-ML [77] and HumanML3D [24] datasets.

2. Diagnosis: Motion Data Representation and Distribution

In this section, we study how motion representation and distribution impact training, sampling (Sec. 2.2), and evaluation robustness (Sec. 2.3), revealing how these factors favor VQ-based methods but limit diffusion-based approaches.

2.1. Preliminary

VQ-based Human Motion Generation Methods primarily adopt either a standard Vector Quantized Variational AutoEncoders (VQ-VAEs) [92, 111] or a residual (R) VQ-VAE [26] to map motion data into discrete tokens.

Given a motion sequence $\mathbf{x}^{1:N} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$ of length N and human pose dimension D , the transformation begins by encoding $\mathbf{x}^{1:N}$ into a latent sequence $\mathbf{h}^{1:n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ with a 1D convolutional encoder $\mathbf{E}$. For vanilla VQ-VAE, each vector is quantized via a base VQ codebook to the nearest token. In RVQ-VAE, additional residual quantization layers are used to quantify the difference between the original latent vector and the quantized representation from the preceding layers. The indices $\mathbf{k}$ from the base layer (VQ-VAE) or all layers (RVQ-VAE) form discrete inputs for training generative models with sequence generation.

The generated discrete sequence $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_{0:n}$ (or $\mathbf{g}_{0:V+1}$ in residual case) is embedded via the codebook, then projected back (or summed then project in residual case) with an up-sample convolutional decoder $\mathbf{D}$ to obtain the final motion.

Diffusion-based Human Motion Generation Methods. The diffusion-based methods use an interpolation function, $\mathbf{x}_t = \alpha \mathbf{x}_0 + \sigma \epsilon$, to combine ground truth (GT) motion data with Gaussian noise ϵ for a noisy motion $\mathbf{x}_t$. In motion generation, typically following DDPM [32], this function is defined as:

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon \quad (1)$$

$\bar{\alpha}_t$ controls the pace of the diffusion process where $0 = \bar{\alpha}_T < \dots < \bar{\alpha}_0 = 1$ with assumption that $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$.

During training, the model learns to predict a continuous vector from $\mathbf{x}_t$ given t , usually the noise ϵ or original motion $\mathbf{x}_0$, and is optimized with a mean squared error (MSE) loss between the predicted value and its ground truth.

During sampling, starting from random noise $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$, for each t , the model with $\mathbf{x}_t$ as input, predicts the original motion $\mathbf{x}_0$ or the noise ϵ (then to $\mathbf{x}_0$ with Eq. (1)), and deduces intermediate samples $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ (for $t \in 1$ to T):

$$\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_t}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1})}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_t + \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}(1 - \alpha_t)}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon_t \quad (2)$$

where $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$, until it reaches the clean motion $\mathbf{x}_0$.

Motion Data Representation. The majority of recent methods utilize the canonical pose representation introduced by [24] on widely-used datasets, including KIT-ML [77] and HumanML3D [24]. This representation at a given time step i is defined as $\mathbf{x}^i = [\dot{r}^a, \dot{r}^{xz}, \dot{r}^h, j^p, j^v, j^r, c^f]$, comprising seven feature components: root angular velocity $\dot{r}^a$, root linear velocities $\dot{r}^{xz}$ in the XZ-plane, root height $\dot{r}^h$, local joint positions $j^p \in \mathbb{R}^{3(N_j-1)}$, local velocities $j^v \in \mathbb{R}^{3(N_j-1)}$, joint rotations $j^r \in \mathbb{R}^{6(N_j-1)}$ in local space, and binary foot-ground contact features $c^f \in \mathbb{R}^4$, where N_j denotes the joint number. However, only the first 4 feature groups from this over-parameterized representation are used to produce final human motion, making the remaining 3 components redundant. We categorize the first 4 feature groups as essential features while classifying the remaining as redundant.

Table 1. **Impact of redundant features on VQ-based models.** VQ-based methods, T2M-GPT and MoMask, trained with redundant features exhibit better reconstruction performance and lead to better generation quality on the HumanML3D dataset.

Method	Trained With	FID ↓		R-Precision ↑		
	Redundancy	Recon	Gen	Top 1	Top 2	Top 3
T2M-GPT [111]	✓	0.081	0.335	0.470	0.659	0.758
T2M-GPT [111]	✗	0.095	0.418	0.466	0.653	0.753
MoMask [26]	✓	0.029	0.116	0.490	0.687	0.786
MoMask [26]	✗	0.030	0.200	0.485	0.681	0.782




Figure 2. **Code Usage of VQ-VAEs** trained with redundancy are more balanced than VQ-VAEs trained with only essential features.

2.2. Impacts on Training and Sampling

In this section, we present how this motion representation and distribution impact VQ and diffusion-based methods.

Benefiting VQ-Based Methods. The redundancy in data representation benefits VQ-VAE training which then enhances discrete generative modeling. To validate this viewpoint, we conduct ablative experiments by training VQ-VAE from T2M-GPT [111] and RVQ-VAE from MoMask [26] on HumanML3D, comparing their reconstruction performance with and without redundant data representations. Then we train T2M-GPT and MoMask following their original methods, both with and without redundant data representations, and evaluate their performance using human motion generation metrics. As shown in Tab. 1, training with redundant dimensions results in VQ-VAEs with significantly better reconstruction performance, which subsequently enhances the performance of discrete generative models. To understand the reason, we further analyze the role of data representation in VQ-VAE training.

Let $\mathbf{x}_t^{\text{GT}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_e^{\text{GT}}$ denote the ground truth data with and without redundancy and $\mathbf{x}_t^{\text{pred}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_e^{\text{pred}}$ represent the prediction. The reconstruction loss $\mathcal{L}_r^{\text{rec}}$ with redundancy can be decomposed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_r^{\text{rec}} &= \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_e^{\text{GT}} - \mathbf{x}_e^{\text{pred}} + \mathbf{x}_{r-e}^{\text{GT}} - \mathbf{x}_{r-e}^{\text{pred}}) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_e^{\text{rec}} + \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_{r-e}^{\text{GT}} - \mathbf{x}_{r-e}^{\text{pred}}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_e^{\text{rec}}$ is the reconstruction loss without redundancy

Table 2. **The results of MDM on humanML3D dataset.** We report the results of MDM with original $\mathbf{x}_0$ prediction vs. with ϵ prediction. Training to predict $\mathbf{x}_0$ leads to better results.

Method	Prediction	FID ↓	R-Precision ↑			
		Gen	Top 1	Top 2	Top 3	
MDM-50Step [88]	$\mathbf{x}_0$	0.518	0.440	0.636	0.742	
MDM-50Step [88]-Cosine	ϵ	31.265	0.054	0.103	0.147	
MDM-50Step [88]-Linear	ϵ	1.574	0.279	0.336	0.415	

representation, and $\mathcal{L}$ is typically measured with variation of $L1$ or $L2$ loss. This decomposition shows the redundancy acts as a data-level regularization

This built-in regularization reduces model variance, making representations less sensitive to training data fluctuations. This improvement enhances generalization, ultimately leading to more effective and consistent codebook usage, as shown in Fig. 2. Specifically, on the HumanML3D test set, both VQ-VAE and RVQ-VAE, which were trained on the data with redundant dimensions, exhibit more uniform code utilization. In contrast, models trained on the data without redundancy show distinct spikes in certain codes. Numerically, for VQ-VAE, the KL divergence against uniform distribution is 0.205 vs. 0.337, and for RVQ-VAE, it is 0.243 vs. 0.351, using original vs. essential dimensions. These results demonstrate the benefit of incorporating redundancy for VQ-based methods.

Limiting Diffusion-Based Methods. The current data representation and distribution impose constraints on the modeling approaches for diffusion-based methods.

Most diffusion-based methods follow the DDPM [32] but predict original motion $\mathbf{x}_0$. However, this deterministic $\mathbf{x}_0$ -only prediction in each timestep can limit training simplicity and sampling diversity. Attempts to train these methods to predict noise often result in inaccurate motion, human shape *e.g.* MDM [88] with cosine beta schedule, or persistent shaking *e.g.* MDM with linear beta schedule in Tab. 2. Below, we explain the two major factors in detail: dimensional distribution mismatch and error amplification.

First, the current motion data do not follow a standard normal distribution with standard z-normalization due to its mixed structure consisting of features from 3D continuous (*e.g.* joint position), 6D rotatory (*e.g.* joint rotation), and categorical (*e.g.* foot contacts) distribution. This leads to a dimensional distribution mismatch and challenges the interpolation function (Eq. (1) for DDPM). In the forward diffusion process, due to the varying initial distributions across different feature groups of $\mathbf{x}_0$, feature groups of $\mathbf{x}_T$ may converge to their own distinct distribution by time step T , rather than all converging to a same standard distribution. Consequently, in reverse diffusion process, starting from a standard distribution leads to errors in motion generation.

Second, when normalizing the human motion data, the standard deviation (SD) is averaged in each of 7 feature groups as $\sigma'^{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{D-1} \sigma_i^{\mathbf{x}}}{D}$, where D is the number of di-

Table 3. **The result with existing evaluator on HumanML3D dataset.** We alter data by adding noise or replacing it with noise in essential and redundant dimensions. The result shows the evaluator heavily emphasizes redundant dimensions during evaluation.

Dimension	Method	FID ↓	R-Precision ↑			
		Gen	Top 1	Top 2	Top 3	
Essential	Add Noise	2.021	0.442	0.634	0.740	
Redundant	Add Noise	21.032	0.310	0.471	0.575	
Essential	Replace W/ Noise	15.164	0.264	0.425	0.538	
Redundant	Replace W/ Noise	38.167	0.154	0.257	0.336	

mensions in each group. We define the ratio $\phi'^x = \frac{\sigma'^x}{\sigma^x}$, where σ^x is the original SD. Then this ratio is further adjusted by a feature bias term γ : $\phi'^x = \gamma \times \frac{\sigma'^x}{\sigma^x}$ when the data is fed in the network. This SD ratio will cause error amplification when predicting noise.

Suppose $\epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$ denote the predicted noise ϵ at time t and define the squared error $\delta_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ between ground truth and predicted $\mathbf{x}_0$, and error δ_ϵ for noise prediction. Then we have $\delta_\epsilon = \|\epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t) - \epsilon\|_2^2$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{x}_0} = \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} (\mathbf{x}_t - \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) - \mathbf{x}_0 \right\|_2^2$. Substitute $\mathbf{x}_0$ from Eq. (1) (detailed deduction in App. A.1), we get:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{x}_0} = \left\| \frac{\sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \right\|_2^2 \delta_\epsilon, \quad (4)$$

an standard error relation $\delta_\epsilon \rightarrow \delta_{\mathbf{x}_0}$. If $\mathbf{x}_0$ is processed correctly, the relation between $\delta_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ and δ_ϵ only responds to time coefficient $\bar{\alpha}$. Since the SD ratio ϕ'^x applies to both predicted and ground truth $\mathbf{x}_0$, then Eq. (4) updates to:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{x}_0} \times \phi'_i = \left\| \frac{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}} \right\|_2^2 \delta_\epsilon, \quad (5)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ remains unchanged and ϵ guaranteed from normal distribution. This means unlike direct $\mathbf{x}_0$ prediction, errors from predicting ϵ are actually amplified because of the modified SD and are worsened by feature bias.

Both dimensional distribution mismatch and noise prediction error amplification can seriously impact generation. Therefore, reformatting motion representation and distribution is crucial to improve motion diffusion modeling.

2.3. Impact on Method Evaluation Robustness

The widely adopted evaluators [24] utilize all features including redundancy which is imprecise and unfair.

To assess this, we conducted an experiment by selectively altering redundant and non-redundant dimensions of ground truth HumanML3D data to examine their impact on the evaluator. As shown in Tab. 3, the evaluator disproportionately emphasizes redundant dimensions, potentially misclassifying accurate human motion as poor if minor imperfections exist in redundancy.

Benefiting VQ-Based Methods. The VQ codebooks enforce a discrete one-to-one token-to-embedding mapping,

Table 4. **The evaluation results using evaluators trained on all vs. essential dimensions on HumanML3D.** VQ-based models significantly outperform diffusion-based models under all-dimension evaluation, but gap closes under essential evaluation.

Method	Evaluator With Redundancy	FID ↓	R-Precision ↑			
		Gen	Top 1	Top 2	Top 3	
T2M-GPT [111]	✓	0.115	0.497	0.685	0.779	
Momask [26]	✓	0.093	0.508	0.701	0.796	
MDM-50Step [88]	✓	0.481	0.459	0.651	0.753	
T2M-GPT [111]	✗	0.335	0.470	0.659	0.758	
Momask [26]	✗	0.116	0.490	0.687	0.786	
MDM-50Step [88]	✗	0.518	0.440	0.636	0.741	

ensuring error consistency across both essential and redundant dimensions, ultimately advantage VQ-based methods under evaluators that account for all dimensions.

Unlike traditional VAEs [45], where the continuous latent space can lead to projected output dimensional inconsistencies, VQ-VAEs establish a one-to-one correspondence between each code and its embedding. While this approach may limit data diversity, the deterministic mapping constrains outputs to a defined set of embeddings, producing stable features across all dimensions. Mathematically, each VQ codebook embedding e_k represents a Voronoi cell:

$$V_k = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \|z - e_k\|_2 \leq \|z - e_j\|_2, \forall j \neq k\}. \quad (6)$$

Generation error then corresponds to errors of cell centroids, yielding a more uniform error rate across dimensions and aligning well with evaluators that assess all dimensions. **Limiting Diffusion-Based Methods.** The continuous predictions nature of diffusion-based methods causes error inconsistency in each dimension, hindered in evaluation.

In each timestep, diffusion-based models predict a continuous vector to recover $\mathbf{x}_0$ without dimension alignment, introducing variability across individual dimensions. As iteration continues, these dimensional fluctuations accumulate. We can express the total error with diffusion model f :

$$\text{Total Error} = \sum_{t=0}^T \sum_{d=1}^D \left\| f(\mathbf{x}_t^{(d)}, \epsilon_t^{(d)}) - \mathbf{x}_{\text{true}}^{(d)} \right\|_2^2 \quad (7)$$

we see each dimension contributes differently to the overall error depending on its error rate and variability across dimensions results in inconsistent error rates. Since the evaluator considers all dimensions, diffusion-based models are penalized because of error rate inconsistency, especially in the redundant dimensions, leading to unfair evaluations.

In Tab. 4, we compare model performance when evaluated on all versus essential motion dimensions. VQ-based methods (e.g., T2M-GPT) tend to outperform diffusion-based ones (e.g., MDM) under all-dimension evaluation, benefiting from more consistent error across dimensions. However, when focusing only on animation-relevant essential dimensions, MDM performs comparably to T2M-GPT, aligning better with visual perception. This suggests that diffusion-based methods, despite excelling in essential di-

mensions, may be penalized by fluctuations in redundancy and underscores a more robust evaluator is crucial.

3. Revisiting Motion Diffusion

Guided by the insights from Sec. 2 and inspirations from VQ-based methods, we revisit diffusion-based human motion generation in this section and present a new method. It does not only overcome the limitations we found in Sec. 2 but also leverages the strengths of autoregressive generation, leading to a new state-of-the-art model.

3.1. Reforming Motion Representations

To systematically address the limitations of motion representations in Sec. 2.2, we only use the essential feature groups (*i.e.*, the first $\#joints \times 3 + 1$ dimensions). After excluding the redundant dimensions, we avoid mixing representations from various distributions, such as 6D rotational and categorical. The retained features are all 3D continuous representations, ensuring a uniform distribution that aligns better with the diffusion-based generation framework.

To further optimize the motion representations, we then project those essential features into a compact and fine-grained latent space using a motion AutoEncoder (AE). Compared with the motion Variational AutoEncoder (VAE) [12], the deterministic AE projection avoids the variation in the motion latents (*i.e.* ϵ incorporation), providing more stable representations that are better suited for diffusion modeling and motion reconstruction. (In Appendix, we show training baseline methods using only essential dimensions can already lead to significant improvements, and processing into latent space may further enhance the results.)

The AE architecture is shown in the left-most part of Fig. 3, where the motion sequence with essential representations $\mathbf{X}^{0:N}$ is projected into a latent space using a 1D ResNet [29]-based encoder E . This latent embedding $\mathbf{x}^{0:n}$ then passes through a 1D ResNet decoder D , which uses nearest-neighbor upsampling to reconstruct the motion feature $\mathbf{X}^{0:N}$. Formally, the training loss of AE is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ae} = \|\mathbf{X}^{0:N} - \mathbf{X}'^{0:N}\|_1. \quad (8)$$

Following previous works [12, 26, 111], the encoder E downsamples $\mathbf{X}$ from N length to $\mathbf{x}$ of n length. The decoder D upsamples it back to N length. With this integration, our method can also use motion latent $\mathbf{x}$ in the diffusion process, which offers acceleration for both training and sampling. In addition, since the downsampling introduces temporal awareness [24], it can improve the temporal coherence of autoregressive diffusion in Sec. 3.2.

More importantly, since our reformed motion representations can effectively address the issues highlighted in Sec. 2.2, it free diffusion models from the constraint of predicting only $\mathbf{x}_0$ to ϵ and more advanced diffusion predictions are now feasible, *e.g.* score and velocity. Following

SiT [62], we define a linear interpolation function as:

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \alpha_t \mathbf{x}_0 + \sigma_t \epsilon = (1 - t)\mathbf{x}_0 + t\epsilon, \quad (9)$$

where t is continuous timestep and define velocity as:

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \dot{\alpha}_t E[\mathbf{x}_0 | \mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{x}] + \dot{\sigma}_t E[\epsilon | \mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{x}]. \quad (10)$$

The score is another form of velocity:

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sigma_t^{-1} \frac{\alpha_t \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \dot{\alpha}_t \mathbf{x}}{\dot{\alpha}_t \sigma_t - \alpha_t \dot{\sigma}_t}, \quad (11)$$

where α_t, σ_t are continuous time coefficients, $\dot{\alpha}_t = \frac{d\alpha_t}{dt}$, $\dot{\sigma}_t = \frac{d\sigma_t}{dt}$. We can also deduce score $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ from $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$.

3.2. Diffusion: An Autoregressive Approach

Autoregressive methods [26, 75, 76, 111] demonstrate significant advantages in motion generation. Instead of generating the entire sequence $\mathbf{x}^{1:n}$ with a condition c by modeling $p(\mathbf{x}^{1:n}|c)$, autoregressive generation can simplify training and generation into a chain probability:

$$p(\mathbf{x}^{1:n}|c) = p(\mathbf{x}^1|c) \prod_{i=1}^n p(\mathbf{x}^i | \mathbf{x}^{<i}), \quad (12)$$

where each conditional probability $p(\mathbf{x}^i | \mathbf{x}^{<i})$ represents the likelihood of generating motion $\mathbf{x}_i$ given previous ones $\mathbf{x}^{<i}$, which makes training objective significantly easier.

Naively training diffusion models to perform autoregression using MSE loss fails as it's simplified to be a regression problem rather than explicitly capturing chained probabilistic distributions of $p(\mathbf{x}^{1:n}|c)$ in autoregressive generation.

Recent advances [51, 91] in image generation demonstrate the potential of autoregressive continuous image modeling. They leverage logits from an autoregressive model as conditioning parameters into a continuous sampling network to better model underlying probability. Inspired by this, we revisit human motion diffusion models from an autoregressive perspective.

3.2.1 Masked Autoregressive Motion Generation

We follow the masked autoregressive approach proposed by MAR [51]. In each autoregressive iteration, we define unmasked motion latents as $um = \mathbf{x}^{i_1:i_k}$ and masked motion latents as $m = \mathbf{x}^{j_1:j_{n-k}}$, where k is the number of autoregressive steps. The unmasked latents can be refined in a new pseudo-order (a flexible reordering process that can be sequential, random, or any custom ordering) $um = \mathbf{x}^{l_1:l_k}$ to serve as previously generated blocks. The masked motion latents $m = \mathbf{x}^{k+1:n}$ represent the motion latents that need to be generated based on um with condition c . Formally, this process is

$$p(\mathbf{x}^{1:n}|c) = p(m|c) \prod_{j=1}^k p(m|um) \quad (13)$$

We visually illustrate motion masked autoregressive in the right-most part of Fig. 3. Note that because of masked autoregression, our approach is capable of predicting multiple latents, not limited to two shown in Fig. 3.




Figure 3. **Method Overview.** (a) The reformed motion sequence is projected into a compact fine-grained latent space through a Motion AutoEncoder. (b) The motion latents $\mathbf{x}^{0:3}$ are processed through a Masked Autoregressive Transformer, where they are either randomly masked (in training) or appended (in inference) with a learnable mask vector (yellow-colored latents). The transformer provides a condition z for the masked positions to the Diffusion MLPs to produce clean latent $\mathbf{x}^{3:4}$ from the noised input. (c) A visual illustration of motion masked autoregressive where masked latents (yellow-colored) can be reordered into a pseudo-position allowing $p(m^{3:4} | \mathbf{x}^{0:2})$ prediction.

3.2.2 Autoregressive Generation Branch

Our proposed autoregressive diffusion generation architecture is shown in the middle of Fig. 3. It consists of two major parts: a Masked Autoregressive Transformer and per-latent Diffusion Multi-Layer Perceptions (MLPs).

Masked Autoregressive Transformer is designed to process and understand time-variant motion data, and provide rich contextual condition z for the diffusion branch. Given unmasked motion latent sequence um as previous generated motion latents, the masked autoregressive transformer g will produce conditions z to the diffusion branch to generate latents at position of masked motion latents m by:

$$z = g(um). \quad (14)$$

Given the relative simplicity of motion data compared to image data, we only use one single AdaLN [28] transformer [93] layer. To balance the generation performance and speed, we use bidirectional attention, same as many previous methods [26, 75, 76].

Diffusion MLPs adopts MLPs as its primary structure because with autoregressive modeling, the motion data input into the diffusion branch is independent single D -dimensional motion latent. The single D -dimensional structure input data aligns well with the MLP’s simplicity and strength in channel-wise manipulation. Unlike MAR [51], which scales the understanding models, we scale generative diffusion MLPs to various model sizes. Using the condition z from Masked Autoregressive Transformer, diffusion branch produce each motion latents $\mathbf{x}^i$ in masked token m ’s position at each timestep t by:

$$\mathbf{x}_{t-1}^i \sim p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}^i | \mathbf{x}_t^i, t, z^i) \quad (15)$$

During training, we randomly mask a subset of k motion latents with a learnable continuous mask vector following the cosine masking schedule from MoMask [26]. The autoregressive model learns to provide accurate signals based on the unmasked latents given time step and text condition. The diffusion MLPs utilize this signal to predict ϵ or $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$

on masked latents. Training objectives for the entire generation branch are denoted as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GB} = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon, t} \left[\left\| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t^i | t, g(um)) \right\|^2 \right] \quad (16)$$

For velocity prediction, $\mathcal{L}_{GB} =$

$$\int_0^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}, t} \left[\left\| \mathbf{v}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t^i | t, g(um)) - \dot{\alpha}_t \mathbf{x}_0^i - \dot{\sigma}_t \epsilon \right\|^2 \right] dt \quad (17)$$

During sampling, given previous latents um , we simply add mask vectors to the sequence, allowing the autoregressive model to generate appropriate signals for masked positions. The sampling process can be denoted as:

$$\mathbf{x}_{t-1}^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t^i - \frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha_t}}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}} \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t^i | t, z^i) \right) + \sigma_t \epsilon_t \quad (18)$$

where $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ for noise prediction. The velocity prediction uses ODE sampling with step size Δt :

$$\mathbf{x}_{t-1}^i = \mathbf{x}_t^i + \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t^i | t, z^i) \quad (19)$$

3.3. Evaluation: More Robust Evaluators.

To address biases in the current evaluation approach shown in Sec. 2.3 due to the unnecessary focus on redundant motion representations, we propose a new evaluation framework that focuses exclusively on essential features (the only ones meaningful), enabling a fairer generation evaluation.

We first construct an evaluator that retains the architecture of the widely used evaluator [24], which consists of a convolutional movement encoder, a GRU [13]-based motion encoder, and a GRU-based text encoder using GloVe [68] embeddings. This evaluator is trained solely on essential dimensions that meaningfully contribute to final motion generation with no other alterations.

To incorporate recent advancements, we also design a CLIP [55, 78, 87]-based evaluator trained with per-batch contrastive learning. Specifically, motion captions are tokenized, embedded, and processed through a transformer encoder branch, while motion data are projected and processed through another transformer encoder branch. The

	Methods	Framework	R-Precision $\uparrow$			FID $\downarrow$	Matching $\downarrow$	MModality $\uparrow$	CLIP-score $\uparrow$
			Top 1	Top 2	Top 3				
HumanML3D	T2M-GPT [111]	VQ	0.470 $\pm$.003	0.659 $\pm$.002	0.758 $\pm$.002	0.335 $\pm$.003	3.505 $\pm$.017	2.018 $\pm$.053	0.607 $\pm$.005
	MMM [76]		0.487 $\pm$.003	0.683 $\pm$.002	0.782 $\pm$.001	0.132 $\pm$.004	3.359 $\pm$.009	1.241 $\pm$.073	0.635 $\pm$.003
	MoMask [26]		0.490 $\pm$.004	0.687 $\pm$.003	0.786 $\pm$.003	0.116 $\pm$.006	3.353 $\pm$.010	1.263 $\pm$.079	0.637 $\pm$.003
	MDM-50Step [88]	Diffusion	0.440 $\pm$.007	0.636 $\pm$.006	0.742 $\pm$.004	0.518 $\pm$.032	3.640 $\pm$.028	3.604$\pm$.031	0.578 $\pm$.003
	MotionDiffuse [113]		0.450 $\pm$.006	0.641 $\pm$.005	0.753 $\pm$.005	0.778 $\pm$.005	3.490 $\pm$.023	3.179 $\pm$.046	0.606 $\pm$.004
	MLD [12]		0.461 $\pm$.004	0.651 $\pm$.004	0.750 $\pm$.003	0.431 $\pm$.014	3.445 $\pm$.019	3.506 $\pm$.031	0.610 $\pm$.003
	ReMoDiffuse [114]		0.468 $\pm$.003	0.653 $\pm$.003	0.754 $\pm$.005	0.883 $\pm$.021	3.414 $\pm$.020	2.703 $\pm$.154	0.621 $\pm$.003
	Ours-DDPM	Autoregressive Diffusion	0.492 $\pm$.006	0.690 $\pm$.005	0.790 $\pm$.005	0.116 $\pm$.004	3.349 $\pm$.010	2.470 $\pm$.053	0.637 $\pm$.005
	Ours-SiT		0.500$\pm$.004	0.695$\pm$.003	0.795$\pm$.003	0.114$\pm$.007	3.270$\pm$.009	2.231 $\pm$.071	0.642$\pm$.002
KIT	T2M-GPT [111]	VQ	0.359 $\pm$.007	0.553 $\pm$.007	0.690 $\pm$.013	0.593 $\pm$.053	3.765 $\pm$.046	1.798 $\pm$.157	0.651 $\pm$.005
	MMM [76]		0.363 $\pm$.005	0.569 $\pm$.006	0.724 $\pm$.006	0.478 $\pm$.034	3.629 $\pm$.028	1.455 $\pm$.106	0.660 $\pm$.003
	MoMask [26]		0.369 $\pm$.005	0.588 $\pm$.005	0.731 $\pm$.005	0.411 $\pm$.026	3.577 $\pm$.021	1.309 $\pm$.058	0.669 $\pm$.002
	MDM [88]	Diffusion	0.333 $\pm$.012	0.561 $\pm$.009	0.689 $\pm$.009	0.585 $\pm$.043	4.002 $\pm$.033	1.681 $\pm$.107	0.605 $\pm$.007
	MotionDiffuse [113]		0.344 $\pm$.009	0.536 $\pm$.007	0.658 $\pm$.007	3.845 $\pm$.087	4.167 $\pm$.054	1.774 $\pm$.217	0.626 $\pm$.006
	MLD [12]		0.351 $\pm$.007	0.536 $\pm$.007	0.658 $\pm$.007	0.492 $\pm$.047	3.746 $\pm$.044	1.803 $\pm$.164	0.646 $\pm$.006
	ReMoDiffuse [114]		0.356 $\pm$.004	0.572 $\pm$.007	0.706 $\pm$.009	1.725 $\pm$.053	3.735 $\pm$.036	1.928$\pm$.127	0.665 $\pm$.005
	Ours-DDPM	Autoregressive Diffusion	0.375 $\pm$.006	0.597 $\pm$.008	0.739 $\pm$.006	0.340 $\pm$.020	3.489 $\pm$.018	1.479 $\pm$.078	0.681 $\pm$.003
	Ours-SiT		0.387$\pm$.006	0.610$\pm$.006	0.749$\pm$.006	0.242$\pm$.014	3.374$\pm$.019	1.312 $\pm$.053	0.692$\pm$.002

Table 5. **Quantitative evaluation on HumanML3D and KIT-ML datasets.** We repeat the evaluation 20 times and report the average with 95% confidence interval. For our methods, we report both method results trained to predict noise (DDPM[32]) and velocity (SiT[62]). We use **Bold** face to indicate the best result and underscore to present the second best.

end-of-sentence token from the text embeddings and the CLS token from the motion embeddings are extracted to represent each modality. The model learns to align these representations by maximizing the per-batch cosine similarity between the normalized features of two modalities scaled by a learnable logit scale. This CLIP-based evaluator is also trained using only essential dimensions.

By training exclusively on essential dimensions, we ensure the evaluators capture only meaningful features from the final generated motion. The adoption of dual evaluators also provides a more robust and comprehensive framework to accurately compare different-based generation methods. More importantly, all baselines can generate outputs containing essential dimensions with no additional operations.

4. Experiment

4.1. Datasets and Evaluation Protocols.

Datasets. To accurately and fairly evaluate our method in comparison with baselines, we adopt two representative motion-language benchmarks: HumanML3D [24] and KIT-ML [77]. The KIT-ML dataset comprises 3,911 motions sourced from the KIT and CMU [65] motion data, each accompanied with one to four textual annotations (6,278 total annotations). The KIT-ML motion sequences are standardized to 12.5 FPS. The HumanML3D dataset contains 14,616 motions sourced from the AMASS [64] and Human-Act12 [23] datasets, each described by three textual scripts (44,970 total annotations). The HumanML3D motion sequences are adjusted to 20 FPS with a maximum duration of 10 seconds. We augment data by mirroring and splitting both datasets into train, test, and validation sets with a ratio of 0.8:0.15:0.05. We follow the pose representation from T2M [23], however, we incorporate only essential dimen-

sions for methods’ evaluation and training in our method.

Evaluation Metrics. Following Section 3.3, we employ two evaluators trained with only essential dimensions: one architecturally identical to the one proposed in T2M [24] and a CLIP-based evaluator. Using the T2M evaluator, we adopt evaluation metrics from T2M, including (1) R-Precision (Top-1, Top-2, and Top-3 accuracies) and Matching, which measures the semantic alignment between generated motion embeddings and their corresponding captions’ glove embedding; (2) Fréchet Inception Distance (FID), which assesses the statistical similarity between ground truth and generated motion distributions; and (3) Multi-Modality, which measures the diversity of generated motion embeddings per same text prompt. Using the CLIP-based evaluator, we include the CLIP-score [30], which measures the compatibility of motion-caption pairs by calculating the cosine similarity between generated motion and its caption.

4.2. Results and Analysis

Following previous works [24, 88], we conduct each experiment 20 times on both datasets and report the mean result along with a 95% confidence interval. To ensure a fair comparison on the new evaluators, we train all baseline models from scratch following their original methods on the same obtained dataset (full dimension). We present the quantitative results of our method alongside baseline state-of-the-art human motion generation methods in Tab. 5, and the qualitative comparison results in Fig. 4. In addition we also present model scalability results in App. D

As observed, our method achieves superior performance across multiple metrics, including FID, R-Precision, Matching score, and CLIP-score, consistently outperforming baseline methods with non-marginal improvements on both KIT-ML and HumanML3D datasets. Compared to




Figure 4. **Visualization Comparison** between our method and baseline state-of-the-art methods. Our method generates motion that is more realistic and more accurately follows the fine details of the textual condition.

Table 6. **Ablation study results** comparing our method to variations without reform data representation and distribution and without autoregression. The study is conducted on the HumanML3D dataset.

Method	FID ↓	R-Precision ↑		
		Top 1	Top 2	Top 3
Full Components	0.116	0.492	0.690	0.790
w/o Motion Representation Reformation	2.196	0.387	0.595	0.703
w/o Autoregression	0.551	0.435	0.621	0.732

diffusion-based baseline methods, our approach showcases a significantly stronger ability to generate stable motion that follows closely to text instructions and aligns with ground truth. Notably, while the SOTA diffusion-based baseline method ReMoDiffuse [114] relies on additional data retrieval from a large database to achieve high-quality motion generation, our method surpasses ReMoDiffuse’s performance results without requiring auxiliary formulations. In comparison to VQ-based baseline methods, our approach maintains better motion quality and also delivers greater diversity, a quality where VQ methods underperform.

4.3. Ablation Study

In the ablation study, we further study the impact of reforming data representation and distribution, and autoregressive modeling in our method. We present ablation results in Tab. 6 and an optimization routine in Appendix. The results shows that both proposed components contribute greatly.

Data Representation and Distribution Without reform motion data representation and distribution, FID increased by 2.080, and R-precisions dropped by 8.7 percent for Top 3. Therefore, reforming data and representation is crucial.

Autoregressive Modeling Without autoregressive modeling, FID increased by 0.435, and R-precisions dropped by 5.8 percent for Top 3. Therefore autoregressive modeling also contributes greatly to our proposed method.

5. Related Work

In this section, we provide a brief overview of related works due to space constraints. We also provide a more detailed version of related works in the Appendix.

VQ-Based Human Motion Generation TM2T [25] first introduces Vector Quantization (VQ) to text-to-human mo-

tion generation, enabling discrete motion token modeling. T2M-GPT [111] extended this by leveraging a GPT[6] to motion autoregressive generation. Subsequent methods have sought to integrate a larger model[37, 115] (e.g. large language models), or manipulate attention mechanisms [119]. Most recently, MMM [76] and MoMask [26] revisit generation methodology by employing bidirectional masked generation techniques inspired by MaskGIT [8]. In this paper, we examine the strengths of these methods and improve a diffusion model with these insights.

Diffusion-Based Human Motion Generation. Inspired by the success of denoising diffusion models in image generation domain [32, 86], several pioneering works [44, 88, 113] have adapted denoising diffusion processes to human motion generation. Building on these works, MLD [12] further optimized the denoising process in latent space to improve training and sampling efficiency. Recent methods have diversified their focus, exploring retrieval-augmentation [114], controllable generation [15], as well as investigating advanced architectures [117] such as Mamba [21]. In this paper, we thoroughly investigate the limitations of diffusion-based methods and propose to address them.

Autoregressive Generation with Continuous Data. GIVT first introduced the idea of leveraging outputs from an autoregressive model as parameters for a Gaussian Mixture Model, enabling probabilistic autoregressive modeling and generation. MAR then utilized logits from a masked autoregressive model as input to a small diffusion branch, producing more fine-grained generation. Inspired by these approaches, in this paper, we propose a novel framework that integrates diffusion-based motion generation with autoregression to achieve enhanced generative performance.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, we introduce a novel diffusion-based generative framework for text-driven 3D human motion generation. Our method reforms motion data representation and distribution to better fit the diffusion model, incorporates masked autoregressive training and sampling techniques and is evaluated by more robust evaluators. Extensive experiments demonstrate our method’s superior generation performance in KIT-ML and HumanML3D datasets.

Acknowledgement. Yiming Xie was supported by the Apple Scholars in AI/ML PhD fellowship.

References

- [1] Gunjan Aggarwal and Devi Parikh. Dance2music: Automatic dance-driven music generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.06252*, 2021. 1
- [2] Chaitanya Ahuja and Louis-Philippe Morency. Language2pose: Natural language grounded pose forecasting. In *3DV*, 2019. 14
- [3] Chaitanya Ahuja and Louis-Philippe Morency. Language2pose: Natural language grounded pose forecasting. In *2019 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*, pages 719–728. IEEE, 2019. 1
- [4] Nefeli Andreou, Xi Wang, Victoria Fernández Abrevaya, Marie-Paule Cani, Yiorgos Chrysanthou, and Vicky Kalogeiton. Lead: Latent realignment for human motion diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.14508*, 2024. 14
- [5] Samaneh Azadi, Akbar Shah, Thomas Hayes, Devi Parikh, and Sonal Gupta. Make-an-animation: Large-scale text-conditional 3d human motion generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 15039–15048, 2023. 14
- [6] Tom B Brown. Language models are few-shot learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14165*, 2020. 1, 8, 14
- [7] Zhi Cen, Huaijin Pi, Sida Peng, Qing Shuai, Yujun Shen, Hujun Bao, Xiaowei Zhou, and Ruizhen Hu. Ready-to-react: Online reaction policy for two-character interaction generation. In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025. 15
- [8] Huiwen Chang, Han Zhang, Lu Jiang, Ce Liu, and William T Freeman. Maskgit: Masked generative image transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11315–11325, 2022. 8, 14
- [9] Changan Chen, Juze Zhang, Shrinidhi K Lakshmikanth, Yusu Fang, Ruizhi Shao, Gordon Wetzstein, Li Fei-Fei, and Ehsan Adeli. The language of motion: Unifying verbal and non-verbal language of 3d human motion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.10523*, 2024. 15
- [10] Jianqi Chen, Panwen Hu, Xiaojun Chang, Zhenwei Shi, Michael Christian Kampffmeyer, and Xiaodan Liang. Sitcom-crafter: A plot-driven human motion generation system in 3d scenes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.10790*, 2024. 15
- [11] Rui Chen, Mingyi Shi, Shaoli Huang, Ping Tan, Taku Komura, and Xuelin Chen. Taming diffusion probabilistic models for character control. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2024 Conference Papers*, pages 1–10, 2024. 14
- [12] Xin Chen, Biao Jiang, Wen Liu, Zilong Huang, Bin Fu, Tao Chen, and Gang Yu. Executing your commands via motion diffusion in latent space. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18000–18010, 2023. 5, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16
- [13] Junyoung Chung, Caglar Gulcehre, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.3555*, 2014. 6
- [14] Peishan Cong, Ziyi Wang, Zhiyang Dou, Yiming Ren, Wei Yin, Kai Cheng, Yujing Sun, Xiaoxiao Long, Xinge Zhu, and Yuexin Ma. Laserhuman: Language-guided scene-aware human motion generation in free environment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.13307*, 2024. 15
- [15] Wenxun Dai, Ling-Hao Chen, Jingbo Wang, Jinpeng Liu, Bo Dai, and Yansong Tang. Motionlcm: Real-time controllable motion generation via latent consistency model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.19759*, 2024. 8, 15
- [16] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2019. 1
- [17] Christian Diller and Angela Dai. Cg-hoi: Contact-guided 3d human-object interaction generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 19888–19901, 2024. 15
- [18] Dongjie Fu. Mogo: Rq hierarchical causal transformer for high-quality 3d human motion generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.07797*, 2024. 14
- [19] Anindita Ghosh, Rishabh Dabral, Vladislav Golyanik, Christian Theobalt, and Philipp Slusallek. Remos: Reactive 3d motion synthesis for two-person interactions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.17057*, 2023. 15
- [20] Jingyu Gong, Chong Zhang, Fengqi Liu, Ke Fan, Qianyu Zhou, Xin Tan, Zhizhong Zhang, Yuan Xie, and Lizhuang Ma. Diffusion implicit policy for unpaired scene-aware motion synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.02261*, 2024. 15
- [21] Albert Gu and Tri Dao. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00752*, 2023. 8, 15
- [22] Jiatao Gu, Yuyang Wang, Yizhe Zhang, Qihang Zhang, Dinghuai Zhang, Navdeep Jaitly, Josh Susskind, and Shuangfei Zhai. Dart: Denoising autoregressive transformer for scalable text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.08159*, 2024. 14
- [23] Chuan Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Shihao Zou, Qingyao Sun, Annan Deng, Minglun Gong, and Li Cheng. Action2motion: Conditioned generation of 3d human motions. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 2021–2029, 2020. 7
- [24] Chuan Guo, Shihao Zou, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Wei Ji, Xingyu Li, and Li Cheng. Generating diverse and natural 3d human motions from text. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5152–5161, 2022. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 15
- [25] Chuan Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, and Li Cheng. Tm2t: Stochastic and tokenized modeling for the reciprocal generation of 3d human motions and texts. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 580–597. Springer, 2022. 1, 8, 14
- [26] Chuan Guo, Yuxuan Mu, Muhammad Gohar Javed, Sen Wang, and Li Cheng. Momask: Generative masked modeling of 3d human motions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF*

- Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1900–1910, 2024. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#)
- [27] Chuan Guo, Yuxuan Mu, Xinxin Zuo, Peng Dai, Youliang Yan, Juwei Lu, and Li Cheng. Generative human motion stylization in latent space. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.13505*, 2024. [15](#)
- [28] Yunhui Guo, Chaofeng Wang, Stella X Yu, Frank McKenna, and Kincho H Law. Adaln: a vision transformer for multidomain learning and predisaster building information extraction from images. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 36(5):04022024, 2022. [6](#)
- [29] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016. [2](#), [5](#)
- [30] Jack Hessel, Ari Holtzman, Maxwell Forbes, Ronan Le Bras, and Yejin Choi. Clipscore: A reference-free evaluation metric for image captioning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08718*, 2021. [7](#)
- [31] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598*, 2022. [16](#)
- [32] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [7](#), [8](#), [14](#)
- [33] Vincent Tao Hu, Wenzhe Yin, Pingchuan Ma, Yunlu Chen, Basura Fernando, Yuki M Asano, Efstratios Gavves, Pascal Mettes, Bjorn Ommer, and Cees GM Snoek. Motion flow matching for human motion synthesis and editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08895*, 2023. [14](#)
- [34] Siyuan Huang, Zan Wang, Puhao Li, Baoxiong Jia, Tengyu Liu, Yixin Zhu, Wei Liang, and Song-Chun Zhu. Diffusion-based generation, optimization, and planning in 3d scenes. In *CVPR*, 2023. [15](#)
- [35] Yiming Huang, Weilin Wan, Yue Yang, Chris Callison-Burch, Mark Yatskar, and Lingjie Liu. Como: Controllable motion generation through language guided pose code editing. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 180–196. Springer, 2025. [15](#)
- [36] Muhammad Gohar Javed, Chuan Guo, Li Cheng, and Xingyu Li. Intermask: 3d human interaction generation via collaborative masked modelling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.10010*, 2024. [15](#)
- [37] Biao Jiang, Xin Chen, Wen Liu, Jingyi Yu, Gang Yu, and Tao Chen. Motiongpt: Human motion as a foreign language. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:20067–20079, 2023. [8](#), [14](#)
- [38] Nan Jiang, Zhiyuan Zhang, Hongjie Li, Xiaoxuan Ma, Zan Wang, Yixin Chen, Tengyu Liu, Yixin Zhu, and Siyuan Huang. Scaling up dynamic human-scene interaction modeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1737–1747, 2024. [15](#)
- [39] Peng Jin, Yang Wu, Yanbo Fan, Zhongqian Sun, Wei Yang, and Li Yuan. Act as you wish: Fine-grained control of motion diffusion model with hierarchical semantic graphs. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. [15](#)
- [40] Roy Kapon, Guy Tevet, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Amit H Bermano. Mas: Multi-view ancestral sampling for 3d motion generation using 2d diffusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1965–1974, 2024. [14](#)
- [41] Korrawe Karunratanakul, Konpat Preechakul, Supasorn Suwajanakorn, and Siyu Tang. Gmd: Controllable human motion synthesis via guided diffusion models. In *ICCV*, 2023. [15](#)
- [42] Korrawe Karunratanakul, Konpat Preechakul, Emre Aksan, Thabo Beeler, Supasorn Suwajanakorn, and Siyu Tang. Optimizing diffusion noise can serve as universal motion priors. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1334–1345, 2024. [15](#)
- [43] Manuel Kaufmann, Emre Aksan, Jie Song, Fabrizio Pece, Remo Ziegler, and Otmar Hilliges. Convolutional autoencoders for human motion infilling. In *3DV*, 2020. [15](#)
- [44] Jihoon Kim, Jiseob Kim, and Sungjoon Choi. Flame: Free-form language-based motion synthesis & editing. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 8255–8263, 2023. [1](#), [8](#), [14](#)
- [45] Diederik P Kingma. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013. [4](#)
- [46] Nilesh Kulkarni, Davis Rempe, Kyle Genova, Abhijit Kundu, Justin Johnson, David Fouhey, and Leonidas Guibas. Nifty: Neural object interaction fields for guided human motion synthesis. In *CVPR*, 2024. [15](#)
- [47] Chuqiao Li, Julian Chibane, Yannan He, Naama Pearl, Andreas Geiger, and Gerard Pons-Moll. Unimotion: Unifying 3d human motion synthesis and understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.15904*, 2024. [15](#)
- [48] Jiaman Li, Jiajun Wu, and C Karen Liu. Object motion guided human motion synthesis. *TOG*, 2023. [15](#)
- [49] Jiaman Li, C Karen Liu, and Jiajun Wu. Lifting motion to the 3d world via 2d diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.18808*, 2024. [14](#)
- [50] Jiaman Li, Alexander Clegg, Roozbeh Mottaghi, Jiajun Wu, Xavier Puig, and C Karen Liu. Controllable human-object interaction synthesis. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 54–72. Springer, 2025. [15](#)
- [51] Tianhong Li, Yonglong Tian, He Li, Mingyang Deng, and Kaiming He. Autoregressive image generation without vector quantization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.11838*, 2024. [1](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [52] Zhe Li, Yisheng He, Lei Zhong, Weichao Shen, Qi Zuo, Lingteng Qiu, Zilong Dong, Laurence Tianruo Yang, and Weihao Yuan. Mulsmo: Multimodal stylized motion generation by bidirectional control flow. In *arXiv 2412.09901*, 2024. [15](#)
- [53] Zhe Li, Weihao Yuan, Yisheng He, Lingteng Qiu, Shen-hao Zhu, Xiaodong Gu, Weichao Shen, Yuan Dong, Zilong Dong, and Laurence T. Yang. Lamp: Language-motion pre-training for motion generation, retrieval, and captioning. In *arXiv 2410.07093*, 2024. [14](#)
- [54] Han Liang, Jiacheng Bao, Ruichi Zhang, Sihan Ren, Yuecheng Xu, Sibe Yang, Xin Chen, Jingyi Yu, and Lan

- Xu. Omg: Towards open-vocabulary motion generation via mixture of controllers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 482–493, 2024. 14
- [55] Han Liang, Wenqian Zhang, Wenxuan Li, Jingyi Yu, and Lan Xu. Intergen: Diffusion-based multi-human motion generation under complex interactions. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, pages 1–21, 2024. 6
- [56] Han Liang, Wenqian Zhang, Wenxuan Li, Jingyi Yu, and Lan Xu. Intergen: Diffusion-based multi-human motion generation under complex interactions. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, pages 1–21, 2024. 15
- [57] Angela S Lin, Lemeng Wu, Rodolfo Corona, Kevin Tai, Qixing Huang, and Raymond J Mooney. Generating animated videos of human activities from natural language descriptions. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2018. 1
- [58] Jing Lin, Ailing Zeng, Shunlin Lu, Yuanhao Cai, Ruimao Zhang, Haoqian Wang, and Lei Zhang. Motion-x: A large-scale 3d expressive whole-body human motion dataset. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023. 15
- [59] Xinpeng Liu, Haowen Hou, Yanchao Yang, Yong-Lu Li, and Cewu Lu. Revisit human-scene interaction via space occupancy. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1–19. Springer, 2025. 15
- [60] Yunhong Lou, Linchao Zhu, Yaxiong Wang, Xiaohan Wang, and Yi Yang. Diversemotion: Towards diverse human motion generation via discrete diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.01372*, 2023. 14
- [61] Shunlin Lu, Jingbo Wang, Zeyu Lu, Ling-Hao Chen, Wenxun Dai, Juntong Dong, Zhiyang Dou, Bo Dai, and Ruimao Zhang. Scamo: Exploring the scaling law in autoregressive motion generation model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.14559*, 2024. 14
- [62] Nanye Ma, Mark Goldstein, Michael S Albergo, Nicholas M Boffi, Eric Vanden-Eijnden, and Saining Xie. Sit: Exploring flow and diffusion-based generative models with scalable interpolant transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08740*, 2024. 5, 7, 16
- [63] Sihan Ma, Qiong Cao, Jing Zhang, and Dacheng Tao. Contact-aware human motion generation from textual descriptions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.15709*, 2024. 15
- [64] Naureen Mahmood, Nima Ghorbani, Nikolaus F Troje, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J Black. Amass: Archive of motion capture as surface shapes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 5442–5451, 2019. 7
- [65] Carnegie Mellon University CMU Graphics Lab motion capture library. Carnegie mellon university - cmu graphics lab - motion capture library. *Carnegie Mellon University - CMU Graphics Lab - motion capture library*, 2017. 7
- [66] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4195–4205, 2023. 1, 16
- [67] Xiaogang Peng, Yiming Xie, Zizhao Wu, Varun Jampani, Deqing Sun, and Huaizu Jiang. Hoi-diff: Text-driven synthesis of 3d human-object interactions using diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.06553*, 2023. 15
- [68] Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher Manning. GloVe: Global vectors for word representation. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1532–1543, Doha, Qatar, 2014. Association for Computational Linguistics. 6
- [69] Mathis Petrovich, Michael J Black, and Gül Varol. Temos: Generating diverse human motions from textual descriptions. In *ECCV*, 2022. 14
- [70] Mathis Petrovich, Michael J. Black, and Gül Varol. TMR: Text-to-motion retrieval using contrastive 3D human motion synthesis. In *ICCV*, 2023. 14
- [71] Mathis Petrovich, Or Litany, Umar Iqbal, Michael J Black, Gul Varol, Xue Bin Peng, and Davis Rempe. Multi-track timeline control for text-driven 3d human motion generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1911–1921, 2024. 15
- [72] Huaijin Pi, Sida Peng, Minghui Yang, Xiaowei Zhou, and Hujun Bao. Hierarchical generation of human-object interactions with diffusion probabilistic models. In *ICCV*, 2023. 15
- [73] Huaijin Pi, Ruoxi Guo, Zehong Shen, Qing Shuai, Zechen Hu, Zhumei Wang, Yajiao Dong, Ruizhen Hu, Taku Komura, Sida Peng, et al. Motion-2-to-3: Leveraging 2d motion data to boost 3d motion generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.13111*, 2024. 14
- [74] Ekkasit Pinyoanuntapong, Muhammad Usama Saleem, Korrave Karunratanakul, Pu Wang, Hongfei Xue, Chen Chen, Chuan Guo, Junli Cao, Jian Ren, and Sergey Tulyakov. Controlmm: Controllable masked motion generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.10780*, 2024. 15
- [75] Ekkasit Pinyoanuntapong, Muhammad Usama Saleem, Pu Wang, Minwoo Lee, Srijan Das, and Chen Chen. Bamm: Bidirectional autoregressive motion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19435*, 2024. 5, 6, 14
- [76] Ekkasit Pinyoanuntapong, Pu Wang, Minwoo Lee, and Chen Chen. Mmm: Generative masked motion model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1546–1555, 2024. 5, 6, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16
- [77] Matthias Plappert, Christian Mandery, and Tamim Asfour. The kit motion-language dataset. *Big data*, 4(4):236–252, 2016. 2, 7
- [78] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 6
- [79] Davis Rempe, Zhengyi Luo, Xue Bin Peng, Ye Yuan, Kris Kitani, Karsten Kreis, Sanja Fidler, and Or Litany. Trace and pace: Controllable pedestrian animation via guided trajectory diffusion. In *CVPR*, 2023. 15

- [80] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 1, 16
- [81] David E Rumelhart, Geoffrey E Hinton, and Ronald J Williams. Learning internal representations by error propagation. *Biometrika*, 71(599-607):6, 1986. 1
- [82] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:36479–36494, 2022. 1
- [83] Yonatan Shafir, Guy Tevet, Roy Kapon, and Amit H Bermano. Human motion diffusion as a generative prior. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01418*, 2023. 15
- [84] Xu Shi, Chuanchen Luo, Junran Peng, Hongwen Zhang, and Yunlian Sun. Generating fine-grained human motions using chatgpt-refined descriptions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02772*, 2023. 15
- [85] Yi Shi, Jingbo Wang, Xuekun Jiang, Bingkun Lin, Bo Dai, and Xue Bin Peng. Interactive character control with autoregressive motion diffusion models. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 43(4):1–14, 2024. 14
- [86] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020. 8, 14
- [87] Guy Tevet, Brian Gordon, Amir Hertz, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Motionclip: Exposing human motion generation to clip space. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 358–374. Springer, 2022. 6, 14
- [88] Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Brian Gordon, Yoni Shafir, Daniel Cohen-or, and Amit Haim Bermano. Human motion diffusion model. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16
- [89] Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Setareh Cohan, Daniele Reda, Zhengyi Luo, Xue Bin Peng, Amit H Bermano, and Michiel van de Panne. Cload: Closing the loop between simulation and diffusion for multi-task character control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.03441*, 2024. 14
- [90] Shashank Tripathi, Omid Taheri, Christoph Lassner, Michael Black, Daniel Holden, and Carsten Stoll. Humos: Human motion model conditioned on body shape. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 133–152. Springer, 2025. 15
- [91] Michael Tschannen, Cian Eastwood, and Fabian Mentzer. Givt: Generative infinite-vocabulary transformers. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 292–309. Springer, 2025. 5
- [92] Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017. 2
- [93] A Vaswani. Attention is all you need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017. 1, 6
- [94] Weilin Wan, Zhiyang Dou, Taku Komura, Wenping Wang, Dinesh Jayaraman, and Lingjie Liu. Tlcontrol: Trajectory and language control for human motion synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.17135*, 2023. 15
- [95] Weilin Wan, Yiming Huang, Shutong Wu, Taku Komura, Wenping Wang, Dinesh Jayaraman, and Lingjie Liu. Diffusionphase: Motion diffusion in frequency domain. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.04036*, 2023. 14
- [96] Jingbo Wang, Yu Rong, Jingyuan Liu, Sijie Yan, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Towards diverse and natural scene-aware 3d human motion synthesis. In *2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, page 20428–20437. IEEE, 2022. 15
- [97] Wenjia Wang, Liang Pan, Zhiyang Dou, Zhouyingcheng Liao, Yuke Lou, Lei Yang, Jingbo Wang, and Taku Komura. Sims: Simulating human-scene interactions with real world script planning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.19921*, 2024. 15
- [98] Xinghan Wang, Zixi Kang, and Yadong Mu. Text-controlled motion mamba: Text-instructed temporal grounding of human motion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.11375*, 2024. 15
- [99] Zhenzhi Wang, Jingbo Wang, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Inter-control: Generate human motion interactions by controlling every joint. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15864*, 2023. 15
- [100] Qianyang Wu, Ye Shi, Xiaoshui Huang, Jingyi Yu, Lan Xu, and Jingya Wang. Thor: Text to human-object interaction diffusion via relation intervention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11208*, 2024. 15
- [101] Yiming Xie, Varun Jampani, Lei Zhong, Deqing Sun, and Huaizu Jiang. Omnicontrol: Control any joint at any time for human motion generation. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024. 15
- [102] Liang Xu, Shaoyang Hua, Zili Lin, Yifan Liu, Feipeng Ma, Yichao Yan, Xin Jin, Xiaokang Yang, and Wenjun Zeng. Motionbank: A large-scale video motion benchmark with disentangled rule-based annotations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.13790*, 2024. 15
- [103] Liang Xu, Xintao Lv, Yichao Yan, Xin Jin, Shuwen Wu, Congsheng Xu, Yifan Liu, Yizhou Zhou, Fengyun Rao, Xingdong Sheng, et al. Inter-x: Towards versatile human-human interaction analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22260–22271, 2024. 15
- [104] Sirui Xu, Zhengyuan Li, Yu-Xiong Wang, and Liang-Yan Gui. Interdiff: Generating 3d human-object interactions with physics-informed diffusion. In *ICCV*, 2023. 15
- [105] Sirui Xu, Ziyin Wang, Yu-Xiong Wang, and Liang-Yan Gui. Interdreamer: Zero-shot text to 3d dynamic human-object interaction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19652*, 2024. 15
- [106] Sheng Yan, Yang Liu, Haoqiang Wang, Xin Du, Mengyuan Liu, and Hong Liu. Cross-modal retrieval for motion and text via dropout loss. In *Proceedings of the 5th ACM International Conference on Multimedia in Asia*, pages 1–7, 2023. 14
- [107] Payam Jome Yazdian, Eric Liu, Rachel Lagasse, Hamid Mohammadi, Li Cheng, and Angelica Lim. Motionscript: Natural language descriptions for expressive 3d human motions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.12634*, 2023. 15
- [108] Hongwei Yi, Justus Thies, Michael J Black, Xue Bin Peng, and Davis Rempe. Generating human interaction motions

- in scenes with text control. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 246–263. Springer, 2025. [15](#)
- [109] Weihao Yuan, Weichao Shen, Yisheng He, Yuan Dong, Xiaodong Gu, Zilong Dong, Liefeng Bo, and Qixing Huang. Mogents: Motion generation based on spatial-temporal joint modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.17686*, 2024. [14](#)
- [110] Ye Yuan, Jiaming Song, Umar Iqbal, Arash Vahdat, and Jan Kautz. Physdiff: Physics-guided human motion diffusion model. In *ICCV*, 2023. [14](#)
- [111] Jianrong Zhang, Yangsong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoli Huang, Yong Zhang, Hongwei Zhao, Hongtao Lu, and Xi Shen. T2m-gpt: Generating human motion from textual descriptions with discrete representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.06052*, 2023. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [7](#), [8](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#)
- [112] Jianrong Zhang, Hehe Fan, and Yi Yang. Energymogen: Compositional human motion generation with energy-based diffusion model in latent space. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.14706*, 2024. [14](#)
- [113] Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Motiondiffuse: Text-driven human motion generation with diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.15001*, 2022. [1](#), [7](#), [8](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#)
- [114] Mingyuan Zhang, Xinying Guo, Liang Pan, Zhongang Cai, Fangzhou Hong, Huirong Li, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Remodiffuse: Retrieval-augmented motion diffusion model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 364–373, 2023. [1](#), [7](#), [8](#), [15](#)
- [115] Yaqi Zhang, Di Huang, Bin Liu, Shixiang Tang, Yan Lu, Lu Chen, Lei Bai, Qi Chu, Nenghai Yu, and Wanli Ouyang. Motiongpt: Finetuned llms are general-purpose motion generators. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 7368–7376, 2024. [8](#), [14](#)
- [116] Zihan Zhang, Richard Liu, Rana Hanocka, and Kfir Aberman. Tedi: Temporally-entangled diffusion for long-term motion synthesis. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2024 Conference Papers*, pages 1–11, 2024. [14](#)
- [117] Zeyu Zhang, Akide Liu, Ian Reid, Richard Hartley, Bohan Zhuang, and Hao Tang. Motion mamba: Efficient and long sequence motion generation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 265–282. Springer, 2025. [1](#), [8](#), [15](#)
- [118] Kaifeng Zhao, Yan Zhang, Shaofei Wang, Thabo Beeler, and Siyu Tang. Synthesizing diverse human motions in 3d indoor scenes. In *International conference on computer vision (ICCV)*, 2023. [15](#)
- [119] Chongyang Zhong, Lei Hu, Zihao Zhang, and Shihong Xia. Att2m: Text-driven human motion generation with multi-perspective attention mechanism. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 509–519, 2023. [8](#), [14](#)
- [120] Lei Zhong, Yiming Xie, Varun Jampani, Deqing Sun, and Huaizu Jiang. Smoodi: Stylized motion diffusion model. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 405–421. Springer, 2025. [15](#)
- [121] Wenyang Zhou, Zhiyang Dou, Zeyu Cao, Zhouyingcheng Liao, Jingbo Wang, Wenjie Wang, Yuan Liu, Taku Komura, Wenping Wang, and Lingjie Liu. Emdm: Efficient motion diffusion model for fast and high-quality motion generation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 18–38. Springer, 2025. [14](#)
- [122] Wenjie Zhuo, Fan Ma, and Hehe Fan. Infinidreamer: Arbitrarily long human motion generation via segment score distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.18303*, 2024. [15](#)
- [123] Qiran Zou, Shangyuan Yuan, Shian Du, Yu Wang, Chang Liu, Yi Xu, Jie Chen, and Xiangyang Ji. Parco: Part-coordinating text-to-motion synthesis. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 126–143. Springer, 2025. [15](#)

Rethinking Diffusion for Text-Driven Human Motion Generation: Redundant Representations, Evaluation, and Masked Autoregression

Supplementary Material

We further discuss our proposed approach with the following supplementary materials:

- Appendix A: Detailed Deduction
- Appendix B: Detailed Related Works
- Appendix C: Implementation Details
- Appendix D: Additional Quantitative Results
- Appendix E: Temporal Editing
- Appendix F: Additional Qualitative Results
- Appendix G: Limitations

A. Detailed Deduction

A.1. Detailed Deduction for Eq. (4)

In paper, we define $\delta_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ and δ_ϵ to be:

$$\delta_\epsilon = \|\epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t) - \epsilon\|_2^2 \quad (20)$$

and

$$\delta_{\mathbf{x}_0} = \|\mathbf{x}'_0 - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2^2 \quad (21)$$

Since in diffusion-based methods, in each step, diffusion-based methods reconstruct the original motion by:

$$\mathbf{x}'_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}}(\mathbf{x}_t - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) \quad (22)$$

where $\epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$ is the model's prediction of the noise ϵ . Then we have:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{x}_0} = \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}}(\mathbf{x}_t - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) - \mathbf{x}_0 \right\|_2^2$$

If we substitute $\mathbf{x}_0$ from Eq. (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathbf{x}_0} &= \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}\mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}}(\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) - \mathbf{x}_0 \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| \mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}}(\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) - \mathbf{x}_0 \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| \frac{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}}(\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| \frac{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}} \right\|_2^2 \delta_\epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

an standard error relation $\delta_\epsilon \rightarrow \delta_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ if $\mathbf{x}_0$ is processed correctly which should only responds to time coefficient $\bar{\alpha}$.

B. Detailed Related Works

Human Motion Generation. Early text-to-motion approaches [2, 24, 69, 70, 87, 106] attempt to align the latent spaces of text and motion. However, this strategy encounters significant challenges in generating high-fidelity motions due to the inherent difficulty of seamlessly aligning these fundamentally distinct latent spaces. Consequently, recent advancements in human motion generation have shifted focus toward diffusion-based and VQ-based methods, as discussed below.

Diffusion-Based Human Motion Generation. Inspired by the success of denoising diffusion models in the image generation domain [32, 86], several pioneering works [44, 88, 113] have adapted denoising diffusion processes to human motion generation. Building on these works, MLD [12] further optimized the denoising process in latent space to improve training and sampling efficiency. PhysDiff [110] added the physical constraints in the motion generation. And a lot of following works [4, 5, 18, 33, 40, 49, 54, 60, 73, 95, 112, 121] keep exploring diffusion-based human motion generation from different perspectives. In this paper, we thoroughly investigate the limitations of diffusion-based methods and propose a novel approach to address them.

VQ-Based Human Motion Generation. TM2T [25] first introduces Vector Quantization (VQ) to text-to-human motion generation, enabling discrete motion token modeling. A lot of the following works [8, 26, 53, 75, 76, 109, 111, 119] improved the VQ-based methods. T2M-GPT [111] extended this by leveraging a GPT [6] to motion autoregressive generation. Subsequent methods have sought to integrate a larger model [37, 115] (e.g. large language models), or manipulate attention mechanisms [119]. Most recently, MMM [76] and MoMask [26] revisit generation methodology by employing bidirectional attention-based masked generation techniques inspired by MaskGIT [8]. BAMB [75] introduced a dual-iteration framework that combines unidirectional generation with bidirectional refinement to enhance the coherence of generated motions. The concurrent work ScaMo [61] explored the scaling law in human motion generation by training the model with large-scale data. In this paper, we examine the strengths of these approaches and improve a diffusion model inspired by these insights.

Autoregressive Generation with Continuous Data. In motion synthesis, recent works [11, 22, 85, 89, 116] have started to explore integrating autoregressive structures into diffusion-based frameworks. However, due to the chal-

Table A1. **Reconstruction Results** of latent encoders in our method vs baseline methods on HumanML3D [24] data. The AutoEncoder in our method exhibits better reconstruction results.

Methods	FID ↓	MPJPE ↓	R-Precision ↑		
			Top 1	Top 2	Top 3
VQ-VAE [111]	0.081±.001	72.6±.001	0.483±.003	0.680±.003	0.780±.002
RVQ-VAE [26]	0.029±.001	31.5±.001	0.497±.002	0.693±.003	0.791±.002
VAE [12]	0.023±.001	13.7±.001	0.499±.002	0.695±.003	0.791±.003
AE (Ours)	0.004±.001	1.0±.001	0.502±.003	0.696±.002	0.793±.002

Table A2. Further Ablation Study and Optimization Routine.

Approach	FID↓	R-Precision↑		
		Top-1	Top-2	Top-3
MDM [88]-50Step- ϵ	31.265	0.054	0.103	0.147
+Masked AR	2.196	0.387	0.595	0.703
++Essential Only	0.657	0.475	0.668	0.774
+++AE (Ours)	0.116	0.492	0.690	0.790
++++ X_0 -Pred	0.135	0.485	0.686	0.784
++++Velocity (Ours)	0.114	0.500	0.695	0.795

lenges of performing direct causal next motion prediction with MSE loss (as done in discrete token settings), these methods typically only use previously generated motion as a prefix condition, rather than modeling the next step motion directly using previous motion as input. In contrast, recent image generation methods have explored tighter coupling between autoregression and diffusion. GIVT introduced the idea of giving previous generation as input, using outputs from an autoregressive model as parameters for a Gaussian Mixture Model to enable probabilistic chaining of autoregressive generation. MAR further refined this by feeding logits from a masked autoregressive model into a small diffusion branch, producing more fine-grained generation. Inspired by these approaches, we propose to integrate diffusion-based motion generation with masked autoregression, enabling a more direct autoregressive technique beyond simple prefix conditioning to achieve improved generative performance.

Human Motion Generation and Beyond. Recent methods have diversified their focus, exploring retrieval-augmentation [114], controllable generation [15, 41, 43, 74, 79, 94, 101], human-scene/object interactions [10, 14, 17, 20, 34, 38, 46, 48, 50, 59, 63, 67, 72, 96, 97, 100, 104, 105, 108, 118], human-human interaction [7, 19, 36, 56, 99, 103], stylized human motion generation [27, 52, 120], more datasets [58, 102], long-motion generation [71, 122], voice-conditioned motion generation [9], unified motion generation and understanding [47], shape-aware motion generation [90], fine-grained text controlled generation [35, 39, 84, 107, 123], fine-tuning pretrained motion generation model as priors [42, 83], as well as investigating advanced architectures [98, 117] such as Mamba [21].

Table A3. Training Baseline Methods with Reformed Motion Data Representation and Distribution, Linear schedule and ϵ -prediction

Approach	FID↓	R-Precision↑		
		Top-1	Top-2	Top-3
MDM [88]	1.574	0.279	0.336	0.415
MDM [88]-Essential	0.753	0.436	0.627	0.732
MotionDiffuse [113]	0.778	0.450	0.641	0.753
MotionDiffuse [113]-Essential	0.533	0.459	0.650	0.757
MDM [88]-Latent	0.327	0.475	0.663	0.768

Table A4. Original Evaluator Results on HumanML3D.

Approach	FID↓	R-Precision↑		
		Top-1	Top-2	Top-3
GT	0.002	0.511	0.703	0.797
GT→Joints→HumanML3D	0.015	0.503	0.697	0.789
MDM [88]-50Step	0.489	0.455	0.645	0.749
MDM [88]-50Step-Reproduce	0.481	0.459	0.651	0.753
T2M-GPT [111]	0.141	0.492	0.679	0.775
T2M-GPT [111]-Reproduce	0.115	0.497	0.685	0.779
MMM [76]	0.089	0.515	0.708	0.804
MMM [76]-Reproduce	0.071	0.517	0.711	0.805
MoMask [26]	0.045	0.521	0.713	0.807
MoMask [26]-Reproduce	0.093	0.508	0.701	0.796
Ours	0.061	0.523	0.715	0.810

Table A5. **Model Scaling** results of our model. Increasing model size results in better overall performance on HumanML3D.

Size	Transformer	MLP	FID ↓	R-Precision ↑		
				Top 1	Top 2	Top 3
S	6 head 384 dim	3 layers 1024 dim	0.278	0.481	0.676	0.779
	12 head 768 dim	8 layers 1280 dim	0.189	0.479	0.676	0.779
M	6 head 384 dim	3 layers 1024 dim	0.278	0.481	0.676	0.779
	12 head 768 dim	8 layers 1280 dim	0.173	0.477	0.679	0.780
L	6 head 384 dim	12 layers 1536 dim	0.137	0.485	0.683	0.785
	12 head 768 dim	12 layers 1536 dim	0.125	0.487	0.685	0.785
XL	16 head 1024 dim	16 layers 1792 dim	0.116	0.492	0.690	0.790

C. Implementation Details

For our method, the AutoEncoder is a 3-layer ResNet-based encoder-decoder with a hidden dimension of 512 and a total downsampling rate of 4. For the generation branch, we utilize a single-layer AdaLN-Zero transformer encoder with a hidden dimension of 1024 and 16 heads as our masked autoregressive transformer. The diffusion MLPs consist of 16 layers with a hidden dimension of 1792. We also present the model scalability results in Appendix D.5.

During training, we use the AdamW optimizer with $\beta_1 = 0.9$ and $\beta_2 = 0.99$. Following prior works [24, 26, 76, 111], the batch size is set to 256 and 512 for training the AutoEncoder on the HumanML3D and KIT-ML datasets, respectively, with each sample containing 64 frames. For training the generation branch, the batch size is set to 64 for HumanML3D and 16 for KIT-ML, with a maximum sequence length of 196 frames. The learning rate is set at 2×10^{-4} with a linear warmup of 2000 steps. We




Figure A1. **Our Method’s Temporal Editing** process, including prefix, in-between, and suffix editing. The editing latents (red color) are treated as masked latents (yellow color). The sequence is then input into the generation branch in Fig. 3 to generate edited latents conditioned on the editing textual instruction and non-edit latents (blue color).

Table A6. **Average Inference Time Results Comparison** between our method and baseline methods.

Methods	MDM [88]	MotionDiffuse [113]	T2M-GPT [111]	MLD [12]	MMM [76]	MoMask [26]	Ours
AIT	14.31s	7.35s	0.32s	0.21s	0.06s	0.04s	2.4s

train the AutoEncoder for 50 epochs and modify the learning rate to decay by a factor of 20 or 10 at milestones of 150,000 and 250,000 iterations for HumanML3D and KIT-ML datasets, respectively. For the generation branch, the learning rate decays by a factor of 0.1 at 50,000 iterations for HumanML3D and 20,000 iterations for KIT-ML during a 500-epoch training process. Following image diffusion works [62, 66, 80], we also incorporate exponential moving average (EMA) when updating the model parameters to achieve more stable performance. In the generation process, for HumanML3D, the CFG [31] scale is set to 4.5 and for KIT, the conditioning scale is set to 2.5.

D. Additional Quantitative Results

D.1. AutoEncoder Reconstruction Results

In Tab. A1, we present the reconstruction results of VQ-VAE from T2M-GPT [111], RVQ-VAE from MoMask [26], VAE from MLD [12], and the AutoEncoder (AE) in our method. Our AutoEncoder has much better reconstruction capability than baseline methods, which ultimately benefits both diffusion model training and sampling.

D.2. Baseline Methods Training With Reformed Data Representation and Distribution

In Tab. A3, we demonstrate that training baseline methods using only essential dimensions can already lead to significant improvements, and processing into latent space may further enhance results.

D.3. Original Evaluation Results

The original evaluator is flawed due to the unnecessary focus on redundant motion representations and the new evaluators are proposed to deal with this issue. Therefore, we strongly discourage utilizing the original evaluation method to access all methods. Also, using the original evaluator requires additional processing to convert our outputs to joints and back to the redundant representations. This inevitably introduces errors, and loses one motion frame

(from joints to HumanML3D representations), and thus unfairly penalizes our method. Nevertheless, we include results in Tab. A4. Note, our method is converted and thus may be biased and inaccurately evaluated under this unfair circumstance. And notably, our reproduced MoMask exhibits worse results, similar to issues reported in their GitHub (Issues 27, 43, 99), and even ground truth motions were penalized due to the additional operations.

D.4. Further Ablation Study and Optimize Routine

In Tab. A2, we provide a further ablation study and an optimization routine starting from an MDM-based cosine schedule, ϵ prediction approach to our approach. The results demonstrate the advantage of masked regression over original diffusion and the importance of our further optimization (motion representation reformation) over pure adoption of image MAR.

D.5. Model Scalability

We train six versions of our proposed model (DDPM approach), varying three transformer sizes and four diffusion MLP sizes (S, M, L, XL). These models range in size from around 30M, 100M, 180M, to 290M parameters. The performance results are summarized in Tab. A5. We observe that increasing the model size, particularly the diffusion MLPs size, improves overall generation performance, especially in terms of FID.

E. Temporal Editing

Our method is capable of performing temporal editing in a zero-shot manner (*i.e.* utilizing the model trained for text-to-motion generation without any editing-specific fine-tuning). In our method, temporal motion editing is easily achieved by treating the latents that need to be edited as masked latents and then generating motions following our standard generation procedure in Sec. 3.2 which is conditions on the unmasked tokens (*i.e.* non-edit latents) and the editing textual instructions. We visually illustrate this process in Fig. A1 and we also include temporal editing results

in the locally-run, anonymous HTML file referenced in Appendix F.

F. Additional Qualitative Results

Beyond the qualitative results presented in the main paper, we also provide comprehensive video visualizations hosted on a locally-run, anonymous HTML webpage to further demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach. These visualizations include additional comparisons with state-of-the-art baseline methods, showcasing that our method generates more realistic motions and adheres more closely to textual instructions. We also present motion videos from our ablation studies to highlight the significance of each component. For example, omitting motion representation reformulation results in noticeable shaking and poses inaccuracies, while excluding the autoregressive modeling approach leads to worse textual instructions following. Furthermore, we also demonstrate our method’s capability for temporal editing with prefix, in-between, and suffix editing results. Finally, we provide additional visualizations to illustrate that our method can generate a wide range of diverse and contextually appropriate motions.

G. Limitations

Since our method incorporates both standard reverse-diffusion processes (over T time steps) and autoregressive generation within each step to produce high-quality and diverse motion, it inherently requires more time for motion generation compared to some baseline methods (*e.g.*, MoMask, MMM). To provide a clear comparison, in Tab. A6, we report the efficiency of motion generation in terms of average inference time (AIT) over 100 samples on a single Nvidia 4090 device. Notably, our method still outperforms several diffusion-based methods, *e.g.* MDM and Motion-Diffuse, in generation speed by a significant margin. For future work, we aim to explore strategies to optimize and accelerate both standard reverse-diffusion and autoregressive generation processes.